Appendix table 8-40. Public assessment of lucky numbers, by selected characteristics: 1988–99 (selected years)

Characteristic	1988	1990	1992	1995	1997	1999
	Pe	ercent				
All adults						
Strongly agree	1	2	3	2	2	3
Agree	35	33	33	35	34	31
Do not know	5	4	3	4	5	3
Disagree	51	51	50	48	46	51
Strongly disagree	8	10	11	11	13	12
Male						
Strongly agree	2	2	4	3	2	4
Agree	35	31	33	34	33	30
Do not know	4	3	3	3	5	2
Disagree	50	52	48	48	46	51
Strongly disagree	9	12	12	12	14	13
Female						
Strongly agree	1	2	2	2	2	3
Agree	36	36	34	37	36	32
Do not know	5	5	3	4	5	4
Disagree	52	50	52	48	45	51
Strongly disagree	6	7	9	9	12	10
Less than high school graduate	_		•	•		
Strongly agree	1	2	7	3	4	7
Agree	47	46	43	46	43	39
Do not know	7	6	5	6	8	4
Disagree	43	44	40	41	33	44
Strongly disagree	2	2	5	4	12	6
High school graduate	-	_	Ü		12	J
Strongly agree	2	3	3	3	2	2
Agree	34	33	35	37	36	33
Do not know	3	3	2	3	4	3
Disagree	54	52	51	48	48	52
Strongly disagree	7	9	9	9	10	10
Baccalaureate and higher	,	,	,	,	10	10
Strongly agree	1	1	0	1	2	1
Agree	23	16	18	20	20	21
Do not know	5	4	4	4	5	3
Disagree	53	59	57	55	52	52
	18	20	21	20	21	23
Attentive public to science and technology	10	20	21	20	21	23
Attentive public to science and technology ^a Strongly agree	2	2	5	6	5	6
Strongly agree	36	28	32	25	29	27
Agree	30 4	28 5	32 4	25 3	29 6	27
Do not know	4 45	5 51	44	3 48	6 42	45
Disagree	45 13	51 14				
Strongly disagree	13	14	15	18	18	20

See explanatory notes, if any, and SOURCE at end of table.

Page 1 of 2

A–590 ◆ Appendix Tables

Appendix table 8-40. Public assessment of lucky numbers, by selected characteristics: 1988-99 (selected years)

Characteristic	1988	1990	1992	1995	1997	1999					
Sample size											
All adults	2,041	2,033	1,004	2,006	2,000	1,882					
Male	958	964	486	953	930	900					
Female	1,084	1,070	533	1,053	1,070	982					
Less than high school graduate	530	495	215	418	420	403					
High school graduate	1,158	1,202	623	1,196	1,188	1,111					
Baccalaureate and higher	353	336	203	392	392	368					
Attentive public to science and technology ^a	233	229	105	195	288	216					

NOTE: Responses are to the following question: "Some numbers are especially lucky for some people. Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree?"

^aTo be classified as attentive to a given policy area, an individual must indicate that he or she is "very interested" in that issue area, report that he or she is "very well informed" about it, and be a regular reader of a daily newspaper or relevant national magazine. Citizens who report that they are "very interested" in an issue area, but who do not think that they are "very well informed" about it, are classified as the "interested public." All other individuals are classified as members of the "residual public" for that issue area. The attentive public for science and technology combines the attentive public for new scientific discoveries and the attentive public for new inventions and technologies. Any individual who is not attentive to either of those issues but who is a member of the interested public for at least one of those issues is classified as a member of the interested public for science and technology. All other individuals are classified as members of the residual public for science and technology.

SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resource Studies (NSF/SRS), NSF Survey of Public Attitudes Toward and Understanding of Science and Technology, 1999 (and earlier years). For a complete set of data from the survey, see J.D. Miller and L. Kimmel, Public Attitudes Toward Science and Technology, 1979–1999, Integrated Codebook (Chicago: International Center for the Advancement of Scientific Literacy, Chicago Academy of Sciences, 1999); and unpublished tabulations.

See page 8-32 in Volume 1.

Page 2 of 2

Science & Engineering Indicators - 2000